

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,507	06/24/2003	Mary F. Doerner	HSJ920030065US1	2167
7:	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas R. Berthold			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
Hitachi IP Law (NHGB/014-2) 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,507	DOERNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Holly Rickman	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	-	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) D 1-4	DTO 440)				
P)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) e tent Application (PTO-152)				
. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/602,507

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwao et al. (JP 2001-056925).

Iwao et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having Co alloy magnetic layers that are antiferromagnetically coupled across a Ru alloy coupling layer. The Ru alloy contains 0-60 at% of Fe. One example contain 20 at% Fe (see paragraphs 12-13, 56, 62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (US 6759149) in view of Iwao et al. (JP 2001-056925).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,507

Art Unit: 1773

Chen et al. teaches a magnetic recording medium having pairs of Co alloy magnetic layers antiferromagnetically coupled across Ru-containing coupling layers. The reference teaches the use of a nonmagnetic CoCr spacer layer between the antiferromagnetically coupled magnetic layer pairs (see abstract and Fig 3). The reference also teaches that the Mrt values of the antiferromagnetically coupled Co alloy magnetic layers are not equal (i.e., the disclosed media set forth in the examples have overall Mrt values greater than zero. If the Mrt values of the individual layers were equal, the overall Mrt value would be zero). The reference fails to teach the use of a Ru-Fe coupling layer.

Iwao et al. teach a magnetic recording medium having Co alloy layers that are antiferromagnetically coupled across a RuFe coupling layer. The reference teaches that the addition of Fe to a Ru coupling layer in an amount up to 60 at% improves the lattice matching of the coupling layer with the adjacent Co alloy layers (see paragraphs 12-13).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add Fe to the Ru coupling layers taught by Chen et al. as suggested by Iwao et al. in order to improve lattice matching between the magnetic layers and the Rucontaining coupling layers. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to determine the optimal amount of Fe to add to the alloy to achieve the optimal lattice matching since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 1773

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

hr August 6, 2004